



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PECATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVEN	ITOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	08/979,5	67 11/26/	97 SHIOTA		К	2091-0145P	-5
Г			and the second of the second o	¬ [	EXAMINER		
	002292 BIRCH ST	FWART KOLAS	TM02/0326 GCH & BIRCH	,	HEWITT II,C		
	8110 GAT	EHOUSE ROAI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SUITE 500 EAST FALLS CHURCH VA 2204		042		2161		-
					DATE MAILED:	03/26/01	Lo

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

- بم		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
م. ز	Advisory Action	08/979,567	SHIOTA ET AL.					
10	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	·	Calvin L Hewitt II	2161					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]								
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.								
3. 🖾	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a)	☐ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search. (s	see NOTE below);					
(b)	$\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	below);						
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	ially reducing or simplifying the					
(d)	they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims.					
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
4.□ A	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):						
_	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed amendment					
6. 💢	The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	reconsideration has been consid	lered but does NOT place the					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
8. <b>⊠</b> 1	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) i	s as follows (see attached writter	n explanation, if any):					
	Claim(s) allowed:							
	Claim(s) objected to:							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10-15,17-21 and 23-27</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
	The proposed drawing correction filed on a		oved by the Examiner.					
0. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Nots								
11.	Other:  JAMES P. TRAMMELL  SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100							
		(Formers)						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant relies on the displaying of data in order to distinguish the claims from the prior art. However, this data is non-functional and therefore insufficient for establishing non-obviousness (In re Dembiczak); as the Applicant does admit that Moghadam teaches data display(page 10,line 17). Nontheless, the Examiner pointed out that displaying order information is well known (e.g. receipts, registration forms) in order to support obviousness.